

- d. To a query of the Commission, RIPL stated that it has prepared a head-wise consolidated statement which shows a large difference between RIPL's computation and the statement of MSEDCL.
 - e. RIPL is facing financial difficulties due to outstanding payments pending with MSEDCL and the liabilities of RIPL need to be paid. Therefore, the Commission may accord some interim relief for smooth functioning of the Generating Plant.
3. Advocate of Respondent stated that
- a. In case of the principal amount, no dispute remains according to the last joint reconciliation. Both the parties may submit their issue wise say on the disputed amount.
 - b. However, MSEDCL is in the process of reconciliation of head-wise amounts as per the invoices and bills.
 - c. Both parties will sit together in time bound manner to discuss the differences in their reconciliation statement, if any, and therefore the Commission maynot to allow any interim relief.
4. The Commission expressed its displeasure on non-payment of even the undisputed amounts by MSEDCL for the supply of power and unnecessarily increasing the Delayed Payment Charges which ultimately will be passed on to the consumers. The Commission observed that this amount may be disallowed while determining Annual Revenue Requirement in the future.
5. The Commission directed the both parties to resolve their disputes through joint discussions and approach the Commission with the agreed and disputed amounts within 2 weeks.

The next date of hearing will be communicated to the parties by the Secretariat of the Commission.

**Sd/-
(Deepak Lad)
Member**

**Sd/-
(Azeez M. Khan)
Member**